

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STREETS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOA1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usufu.esov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,581	12/05/2001	Roy F. Brabson	RSW920010223US1	3407	
75	590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Jerry W. Herndon			PAN, JO	PAN, JOSEPH T	
IBM Corporation	on T81/503				
P.O. Box 12195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Research Triang	gle Park, NC 27709		2135		
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 00/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	n No. Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/007,581	BRABSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph Pan	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status -			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ılv 2006		
	action is non-final.	•	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		esseution as to the morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		•
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	00.0.210.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-12,14,16-18,20 and 22-39 is/are pe	nding in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-12,14,16-18,20 and 22-39 is/are rej	ected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2001</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application	
. apor no(s)man bate	o) 🗀 Other		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on July 12, 2006 has been carefully considered. Claims 1-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-39 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anand et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,141,705), hereinafter "Anand", in view of Freed et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0014623 A1), hereinafter "Freed".

Referring to claim 1:

i. Anand teaches:

A method of performing security processing in a computing network comprising a local unit having an operating system kernel executing at least one application program, comprising:

receiving a first request at the operating system kernel from the application program to initiate a communication with a remote unit (see figure 3, element 140 'application data'; and column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand);

providing a second request from the operating system kernel to a security offload component which performs security handshake processing, the second request directing the security offload component to secure the communication with the remote unit (see e.g. figure 3, element 128 'transport protocol driver, e.g. TCP/IP'; and column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand); and

Application/Control Number: 10/007,581

Art Unit: 2135

providing a control function in the operating system kernel for initiating operation of the security handshake processing by the security offload component (see figure 3, element 100 'NIC hardware, e.g. ethernet'; and column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand).

Anand further discloses that "rather than perform certain of the CPU intensive operations on the data packet as it passes through the respective network layers--e.g. checksum calculation/verification, encryption/decryption, message digest calculation and TCP segmentation--those tasks can instead be offloaded and performed at the NIC hardware." (see column 3, lines 39-44 of Anand)

However, Anand does not specifically mention the security handshake processing among the tasks performed by the offload component.

- ii. Freed discloses a method for secure communications between a client and a server. The method includes the steps of managing a communication negotiation between the client and the server wherein Freed discloses "Besides authenticating the server to the client, the SSL Handshake Protocol: allows the client and server to negotiate the cipher suite to be used; allows the client and the server to generate symmetric session keys; and establishes the encrypted SSL connection. Once the key exchange is complete, the client and the server use this session key to encrypt all communication between them." (see page 1, paragraph [0008], lines 1-7 of Anand, emphasis added)
- iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Freed into the system of Anand to offload the security handshake processing to the offload component.
- iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Freed into the system of Anand to offload the security handshake processing to the offload component, because "As such, there is an advantage in offloading such CPU intensive task to a peripheral hardware device. This would reduce processor utilization and memory bandwidth usage in the host computer, and thereby increase the efficiency, speed and throughput of the overall system." (see column 2, lines 48-52 of Anand)

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to claim 2:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose executing the provided control function, thereby initiating operation of the security handshake processing (see column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand).

Referring to claim 3:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose that the operating system Kernel maintains control over operation of the security handshake processing (see column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand).

Referring to claims 4, 7:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose that kernel does not participate in operation of the security handshake processing (see page 3, paragraph [0034], lines 14-18 of Freed).

Referring to claim 5:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose specifying information to be used by the security offload component (see figure 4, element 150 'packet extension'; and column 11, lines 8-27 of Anand).

Referring to claims 6, 8:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the specified information comprises one or more of: a connection identifier; a security role; cipher suites options, etc. (see page 1, paragraphs [0008], [0010] of Freed).

Referring to claims 9, 30:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1

Art Unit: 2135

above). They further disclose the completion response from offload component (see page 5, paragraph [0066] of Freed).

Referring to claims 10, 31-32:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the conveyed information comprises one or more of: a session identifier, one or more session keys, a sequence number, a cipher suite, etc. (see page 1, paragraphs [0008], [0010] of Freed).

Referring to claim 11:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose that the operating system kernel maintains control over operation of the security handshake processing, and wherein the operating system kernel provides one or more message segments (see e.g. figure 7, element 237 'Neg. With SSL AD' of Freed).

Referring to claims 12, 14:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the random number generation when creating initial handshake message (see page 4, paragraph [0052] of Freed).

Referring to claims 16-17:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the certificate and decoding (see page 1, paragraph [0009] of Freed).

Referring to claim 18:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the encryption (see page 1, paragraph [0009] of Freed).

Referring to claim 20:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the master secret (see page 1, paragraph [0009] of Freed).

Referring to claims 22-23:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the master security secrets and the session cryptography keys (see page 1, paragraphs [0008] – [0009] of Freed).

Referring to claim 24:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the digitally signing (see page 5, paragraph [0054] of Freed).

Referring to claim 25:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose validating a digital certificate (see page 1, paragraph [0009], lines 1-8 of Freed).

Referring to claims 26-29:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose the message authentication code ("MAC") (see page 1, paragraph [0009], last 8 lines of Freed).

Referring to claim 36:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose preparing the data packet, reserving space in the data packet, and passing the data packet to the offload component (see figure 4, element 142 'network packet'; and column 3, lines 39-44 of Anand).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2135

Referring to claims 37-38:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose passing control information from the operating system kernel to the security offload component (see column 4, lines 9-12 of Anand).

Referring to claim 39:

Anand and Freed teach the claimed subject matter: providing a security offload component which performs security handshake, and a control (see claim 1 above). They further disclose encrypting the data in the data packet (see column 9, lines 49-50 of Anand).

Referring to claims 33-35:

i. Anand teaches:

A method of performing security processing in a computing network including a local unit having an operating system kernel executing at least one application program, comprising:

providing a security offload component which performs security session establishment and control processing (see figure 3, element 100 'nic hardware'; column 3, lines 31-44 of Anand);

providing a control function in the operating system kernel for initiating operation of the security session establishment and control processing by the security offload component (see column 3, lines 9-23, lines 61-65; and column 4, lines 9-12 of Anand);

receiving a request at the operating system kernel from the application program to initiate a communication with a remote unit (see figure 3, element 140 'application data' of Anand); and

directing the security offload component to secure the communication with the remote unit in response to the request (see column 10, lines 27-47 of Anand).

Anand discloses that "rather than perform certain of the CPU intensive operations on the data packet as it passes through the respective network

Application/Control Number: 10/007,581 Page 8

Art Unit: 2135

layers--e.g. checksum calculation/verification, encryption/decryption, message digest calculation and TCP segmentation--those tasks can instead be offloaded and performed at the NIC hardware." (see column 3, lines 39-44 of Anand)

However, Anand does not specifically mention the security session establishment among the tasks performed by the offload component.

- ii. Freed discloses a method for secure communications between a client and a server. The method includes the steps of managing a communication negotiation between the client and the server wherein Freed discloses "Besides authenticating the server to the client, the SSL Handshake Protocol: allows the client and server to negotiate the cipher suite to be used; allows the client and the server to generate symmetric session keys; and establishes the encrypted SSL connection. Once the key exchange is complete, the client and the server use this session key to encrypt all communication between them." (see page 1, paragraph [0008], lines 1-7 of Anand, emphasis added)
- iii. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Freed into the system of Anand to offload the security session establishment to the offload component.
- iv. The ordinary skilled person would have been motivated to have applied the teaching of Freed into the system of Anand to offload the security session establishment to the offload component, because "As such, there is an advantage in offloading such CPU intensive task to a peripheral hardware device. This would reduce processor utilization and memory bandwidth usage in the host computer, and thereby increase the efficiency, speed and throughput of the overall system." (see column 2, lines 48-52 of Anand)

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on July 12, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,581

Art Unit: 2135

Page 9

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-5987.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached at 571-272-3859. The fax and phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-6300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Joseph Pan

September 1, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100